



**Medical Board of Ohio Meeting Minutes
July 13, 2022**

Betty Montgomery, President, called the meeting to order at 10:07 a.m. in the Administrative Hearing Room, 3rd floor of the Rhodes Office Tower, 30 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215 with the following members present: Sherry Johnson, D.O., Vice President; Kim G. Rothermel, M.D., Secretary; Bruce R. Saferin, D.P.M., Supervising Member; Michael Gonidakis, Esq.; Robert Giacalone, R.Ph., J.D.; Michael Schottenstein, M.D.; Jonathan Feibel, M.D.; Harish Kakarala, M.D.; Yeshwant Reddy, M.D.; and Mark A. Bechtel, M.D.

MINUTES REVIEW

Dr. Reddy moved to approve the minutes of the June 8, 2022 Board Meeting. Dr. Bechtel seconded the motion. All members voted aye. The motion carried.

REPORTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Ms. Montgomery asked the Board to consider the Report and Recommendation appearing on the agenda: Yange Zhang, L.Ac.

Ms. Montgomery asked all Board members the following questions:

- 1.) Has each member of the Board received, read and considered the Hearing Record; the Findings of Fact, Conclusions and Proposed Orders; and any objections filed in each of the Reports and Recommendations?
- 2.) Does each member of the Board understand that the Board's disciplinary guidelines do not limit any sanction to be imposed, and that the range of sanctions available in each matter runs from Dismissal to Permanent Revocation or Permanent Denial?
- 3.) Does each member of the Board understand that in each matter eligible for a fine, the Board's fining guidelines allow for imposition of the range of civil penalties, from no fine to the statutory maximum amount of \$20,000?

ROLL CALL:	Dr. Rothermel	- aye
	Dr. Saferin	- aye
	Mr. Giacalone	- aye
	Dr. Schottenstein	- aye
	Dr. Johnson	- aye
	Mr. Gonidakis	- aye
	Dr. Kakarala	- aye
	Dr. Feibel	- aye
	Dr. Reddy	- aye
	Dr. Bechtel	- aye
	Ms. Montgomery	- aye

Ms. Montgomery stated that in accordance with the provision in section 4731.22(F)(2), Ohio Revised Code, specifying that no member of the Board who supervises the investigation of a case shall participate in further adjudication of the case, the Secretary and Supervising Member must abstain from further participation in the

State Medical Board of Ohio Meeting Minutes –July 13, 2022

adjudication of any disciplinary matters. In the disciplinary matters before the Board today, Dr. Rothermel served as Secretary and Dr. Saferin served as Supervising Member.

During these proceedings, no oral motions were allowed by either party.

Yange Zhang, L.Ac.

Ms. Montgomery directed the Board's attention to the matter of Yange Zhang, L.Ac. Objections have been filed and were previously distributed to Board members. Ms. Lee was the Hearing Examiner.

A request to address the Board has been filed on behalf of Mr. Zhang. Five minutes will be allowed for that address.

Mr. Zhang was represented by his attorney, Samuel Shamansky.

Mr. Shamansky stated that Patient 1 has alleged Mr. Zhang raped and molested her and had his hands all over her body, including in her vaginal cavity. Patient 1 reported this to the Dublin Police Department and the case pended for approximately one year, during which a full DNA analysis was done. Dublin Police later advised Patient 1 of the results and that they were not going to pursue her case. Mr. Shamansky assured the Board members, from a criminal prosecution and defense perspective, that this is a rarity and virtually unheard of, and this speaks volumes to the lack of evidence in this case.

Mr. Shamansky continued that Patient 1's testimony was not only wholly inconsistent with the physical evidence, but it also made no sense in the context of their acupuncturist/patient relationship. Patient 1 has stated that she sat there for 20 or 30 minutes and felt powerless to leave, which is inconsistent with a grown, otherwise emotionally stable woman in an acupuncturist's office. Mr. Shamansky stated that it is beyond his wildest understanding why Patient 1 would not get up and walk out if, in fact, these acts were being perpetrated on her. Mr. Shamansky could understand if there was some power imbalance or if Patient 1 had been frail or emotionally compromised, but this was not the case.

Mr. Shamansky stated that the Dublin Police refused to move forward with the case, there was no physical evidence, and the forensic evidence did not support Patient 1's version of events. Mr. Shamansky submitted that Mr. Zhang's denial of these events is extremely credible compared to the claims made by the alleged victim. Mr. Shamansky urged the Board to carefully consider the lack of evidence other than Patient 1's word, which was completely discredited by the physical evidence and her behavior after the visit.

Regarding Mr. Zhang's holding himself out as a physician, Mr. Shamansky agreed that was a mistake for which Mr. Zhang accepts complete responsibility. Mr. Shamansky stated that that transgression, under any standard of fairness, should not result in Mr. Zhang being stripped of his license to practice acupuncture. Mr. Shamansky asked the Board to consider sanctioning Mr. Zhang on his failure to immediately remove the "doctor" moniker from his website, but to find that the allegations regarding sexual misconduct were not supported by the evidence.

Ms. Montgomery asked if the Assistant Attorney General wished to respond. Ms. Snyder stated that she wished to respond.

Ms. Snyder stated that when it comes to health care practitioners and patients, it is unfortunately not a rarity for these cases to not be prosecuted. These cases are complicated because health care practitioners are allowed to touch patients. While Mr. Shamansky practicing in the criminal world may not see it, this Board often sees these types of cases not being prosecuted.

Ms. Snyder continued that Patient 1 went to Mr. Zhang three times for acupuncture because she thought he was a physician, noting that it was on his website, he wore a white lab coat with "M.D." after his name, and he did not correct her when she called him "doctor." Ms. Snyder stated that this gave Mr. Zhang credibility and he

State Medical Board of Ohio Meeting Minutes –July 13, 2022

wanted people to think he was a physician because he wanted people to trust him. Patient 1 reported that Mr. Zhang was very professional during her first two visits. During the third visit there were no staff people in Mr. Zhang's office; Ms. Snyder clarified that Mr. Zhang's only staff was his wife, who was not present at the third visit. The third visit lasted four hours, during which Mr. Zhang groped Patient 1's breasts, tried to kiss her, and tried to lie on top of her. Mr. Zhang had oil all over his hands when he did this. Patient 1 left the office without paying because it had essentially been a sneak attack; she was relaxed, had fallen asleep, and let her guard down because it was supposed to be a safe place.

Ms. Snyder stated that the idea that Patient 1's failure to spring up and fight Mr. Zhang off constitutes a sign that she has made this up is an antiquated notion that was debunked years ago. Ms. Snyder noted that in the aftermath, Patient 1 went to the Dublin Police and spent hours recounting this horrific abuse, then went to the hospital and submitted to a sexual assault examination that involved having her vagina probed and her whole body swabbed. Patient 1 described the examination as demeaning and demoralizing. Ms. Snyder stated that this is not something someone does on a whim, out of vindictiveness, or from being a hysterical female, all of which as insinuated during the hearing. On the contrary, this is something someone does because they had been victimized. Patient 1 testified consistently throughout the hearing about what happened to her, and she had nothing to gain.

Ms. Snyder wished the Board members could have watched Patient 1 testify during the hearing, stating that the transcript does not convey the way she trembled, her stilted speech when she talked about something difficult, and the fact that she could not look at Mr. Zhang. Ms. Snyder observed a portion of the hearing transcript in which she asked Mr. Shamansky to move someplace else during questioning because she did not want to look at Mr. Zhang. Ms. Snyder stated that these are not the actions of someone who is making the story up.

Ms. Snyder stated that Mr. Zhang has credibility issues because his business is premised on the fraud that he is a physician. Ms. Snyder opined that Mr. Zhang needs to be out of practice fast, and this is why the State supported the Report and Recommendation for permanent revocation.

Dr. Johnson moved to approve and confirm the Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Proposed Order in the matter of Mr. Zhang. Dr. Bechtel seconded the motion.

Ms. Montgomery stated that she will now entertain discussion in the above matter.

Dr. Schottenstein stated that it is undisputed that Mr. Zhang portrayed himself as a physician, in violation of Board rules. The question is whether there are mitigating factors. Mr. Zhang essentially excuses his behavior by portraying it as negligent because he should have known better, but not reckless because it was not intentional. At hearing, defense counsel described the behavior as "inadvertent," saying that there were two versions of Mr. Zhang's website and the older, incorrect version was erroneously depicted. However, Dr. Schottenstein pointed out that this is belied by the fact that Mr. Zhang's Facebook page depicted the same misrepresentation. The behavior cannot be inadvertent due to a technical glitch when it is on both of the website and the Facebook page.

Dr. Schottenstein continued that the Board brought this matter to Mr. Zhang's attention in 2019, but it continued at least through the time of the hearing in 2021. Dr. Schottenstein commented that most licensees would understand the gravity of the situation once it had been brought to their attention and be certain they were correctly portrayed in their online advertisements, as well as make sure patients do not refer to them as "doctor." Dr. Schottenstein added that Mr. Zhang arguably had a cavalier approach to the concern.

Regarding the allegation of sexual misconduct, Dr. Schottenstein respectfully disagreed with defense counsel's characterization that there is a complete lack of credible evidence. Dr. Schottenstein stated that the bedrock of the judicial system is testimonial evidence and it is common to decide cases based solely witness testimony. The testimony of a competent witness is sufficient to produce a judicial finding, and Dr. Schottenstein perceived Patient 1 to be a competent witness. Dr. Schottenstein stated that to disregard the testimony of

State Medical Board of Ohio Meeting Minutes –July 13, 2022

Patient 1 is to conceptualize her as malevolent and conniving in a way that, to Dr. Schottenstein, is not supported by the evidence. It was meaningful to Dr. Schottenstein that the Hearing Examiner found Patient 1 to be credible, given her proximity to the proceedings. Dr. Schottenstein did not find Mr. Zhang's explanations to be persuasive.

Dr. Schottenstein respectfully disagreed with the defense counsel's implication that the Dublin Police declined to pursue criminal charges because Patient 1's case is not credible and that it is almost "unheard of" in a rape investigation not to pursue charges. Dr. Schottenstein stated that most rape and assault reports made to police do not result in arrests, and therefore this case reflects the norm and not an aberration. Regarding defense counsel's statement in hearing that there was "not a single shred of my client's DNA anywhere near her vaginal area,..." Dr. Schottenstein pointed out that there will not be much DNA from a perpetrator when there is no body fluid exchange and no physical struggle. This is an alleged case of digital penetration and molestation, and it is more difficult to collect an adequate sample of DNA in that context. Dr. Schottenstein stated that the DNA findings in this case may not be confirmatory, but they are arguably compelling.

Dr. Schottenstein noted that defense counsel portrays Patient 1 as motivated by money. Dr. Schottenstein pointed out that if one cannot proceed with a criminal conviction, then the perpetrator is getting away with their actions and this is unacceptable for some patients in these situations. Therefore, civil court and the Medical Board are the remedy to dispense justice. At her hearing, Patient 1 stated that she filed the civil suit because Mr. Zhang does not understand the gravity of what he has done and she does not know of any other way to stop him from doing it to someone else. Dr. Schottenstein observed that, essentially, Patient 1 is taking on a facsimile of the Medical Board's job, which is to protect the public. Dr. Schottenstein stated that along with the counseling Patient 1 has been receiving since 2018, it is therapeutic to engage in behavior that modifies one's role from victim to survivor. Patient 1 finds healing and meaning pursuing action against Mr. Zhang. Dr. Schottenstein did not perceive that the filing of the civil case diminishes Patient 1's credibility.

Dr. Schottenstein disagreed with the characterization from the hearing transcript that Patient 1 is mentally unstable, which he found to be an unfortunate stereotype meant to diminish her credibility. Mr. Zhang testified that he came to his conclusion about Patient 1's mental stability at her second appointment. Mr. Zhang also testified that he uses a chaperone whenever he has concerns about a patient's mental health. Dr. Schottenstein stated that this is inconsistent with the fact that Patient 1's third appointment occurred in the absence of a chaperone.

During the hearing, defense counsel questioned Patient 1 about the fact that Mr. Zhang did not force her to lie there as he molested her. Defense counsel pointed out that Patient 1 was not restrained or pinned to the table, and indicated that she had the opportunity to get up and walk out but she stayed in the room in a prone position. Patient 1 indicated that, "I don't know if it was a choice, ... I froze." Dr. Schottenstein recalled that the Board members had trauma-informed training about two-and-a-half years ago which educated them about sexual assault victims and how they are often met with reactions of disbelief and blame. The Board members also learned that sexual assault victims freeze, as described by Patient 1, and experience time dilation. Dr. Schottenstein noted that Patient 1 testified that, "It felt like forever."

Dr. Schottenstein noted that Patient 1 refused an internal vaginal examination and a toluidine blue test, which led defense counsel to speculate that she refused because she knew the results would not be consistent with her story. Dr. Schottenstein stated that by that logic, one would have to question why Patient 1 agreed to any part of the sexual assault examination. Dr. Schottenstein stated that a negative finding would not have been inconsistent with Patient 1's allegation because the internal examination and toluidine blue test look for physical injury, whereas Patient 1 never alleged that physical injury occurred.

Dr. Schottenstein stated that he looked for inconsistencies in Patient 1's story as documented in the police records and her testimony at hearing, and he found none. Conversely, there were inconsistencies in Mr. Zhang's testimony as compared to the police report. Mr. Zhang told police investigators at the time of the alleged incident that he had touched the area around Patient 1's breasts and the upper part of her chest, and that he had to lift her bra because it was tight and he had to access the center of her chest between the

State Medical Board of Ohio Meeting Minutes –July 13, 2022

breasts to place needles as part of her treatment. But at hearing, Mr. Zhang said, “I didn’t treat breast area or chest area.”

Contrary to defense counsel’s characterization of Mr. Zhang immediately submitting to a law enforcement request for a sample of his DNA, Dr. Schottenstein noted the police investigator’s characterization of Mr. Zhang as “very hesitant” to consent to giving a sample. The report details how Mr. Zhang expressed concern that his DNA would be found in Patient 1’s vaginal area even though he maintained that he did not touch her there. Mr. Zhang refused to sign a consent form for the DNA sample, but gave oral consent. Dr. Schottenstein opined that an innocent licensee who had been falsely accused of something like this would be eager to submit a DNA sample so he could clear himself of a scurrilous charge.

Dr. Schottenstein opined that a preponderance of the evidence shows that the allegations, as set forth, are true. Further, Dr. Schottenstein saw no remorse or taking of responsibility on the part of Mr. Zhang. Dr. Schottenstein believed Mr. Zhang’s behavior was willful and reckless, that he has not been forthcoming with the Board, and that the behavior is likely to recur. Dr. Schottenstein stated that Mr. Zhang used his position of trust to engage in conduct that clearly had an adverse impact on Patient 1. Dr. Schottenstein therefore agreed with the Proposed Order of permanent revocation.

Mr. Giacalone agreed with Dr. Schottenstein and stated that it is a matter of credibility. Mr. Giacalone opined that Mr. Zhang is not credible, while Patient 1 is extremely credible. Patient 1 expressed her pain and provided specifics, whereas Mr. Zhang’s story wavered at various points, as noted by Dr. Schottenstein. Mr. Giacalone agreed that the preponderance of the evidence supports Patient 1’s testimony.

Ms. Montgomery stated that in the Board’s experience, as well as her own experience prosecuting similar cases, victims act differently in different situations. Ms. Montgomery stated that Mr. Shamansky is a credible representative of the bar and has done a wonderful job representing his client, but she fully agreed with Dr. Schottenstein and Mr. Giacalone.

A vote was taken on Dr. Johnson’s motion to approve:

ROLL CALL:	Dr. Rothermel	- abstain
	Dr. Saferin	- abstain
	Mr. Giacalone	- aye
	Dr. Schottenstein	- aye
	Dr. Johnson	- aye
	Mr. Gonidakis	- aye
	Dr. Kakarala	- aye
	Dr. Feibel	- abstain
	Dr. Reddy	- aye
	Dr. Bechtel	- aye
	Ms. Montgomery	- aye

The motion to approve carried.

PROPOSED FINDINGS AND PROPOSED ORDERS

Ms. Montgomery stated that in the following matters, the Board issued a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing. No timely requests for hearing were received. These matters were reviewed by a hearing examiner, who prepared a Proposed Findings and Proposed Orders, and are now before the Board for final disposition. These matters are disciplinary in nature, and therefore the Secretary and Supervising Member cannot vote. In these matters, Dr. Rothermel served as Secretary and Dr. Saferin served as Supervising Member.

State Medical Board of Ohio Meeting Minutes –July 13, 2022

Sarah Au, M.D.

Dr. Johnson moved to find that the allegations as set forth in the July 14, 2021 Notice of Opportunity for Hearing in the matter of Dr. Au have been proven to be true by a preponderance of the evidence and to adopt Ms. Lee’s Proposed Findings and Proposed Order. Dr. Bechtel seconded the motion.

Ms. Montgomery stated that she will now entertain discussion in the above matter. No Board member offered discussion in this matter.

A vote was taken on Dr. Johnson’s motion:

ROLL CALL:	Dr. Rothermel	- abstain
	Dr. Saferin	- abstain
	Mr. Giacalone	- aye
	Dr. Schottenstein	- aye
	Dr. Johnson	- aye
	Mr. Gonidakis	- aye
	Dr. Kakarala	- aye
	Dr. Feibel	- aye
	Dr. Reddy	- aye
	Dr. Bechtel	- aye
	Ms. Montgomery	- aye

The motion carried.

Myla Bennet, M.D.

Dr. Johnson moved to find that the allegations as set forth in the December 8, 2021 Notice of Opportunity for Hearing in the matter of Dr. Bennett have been proven to be true by a preponderance of the evidence and to adopt Ms. Lee’s Proposed Findings and Proposed Order. Dr. Kakarala seconded the motion.

Ms. Montgomery stated that she will now entertain discussion in the above matter. No Board member offered discussion in this matter.

A vote was taken on Dr. Johnson’s motion:

ROLL CALL:	Dr. Rothermel	- abstain
	Dr. Saferin	- abstain
	Mr. Giacalone	- aye
	Dr. Schottenstein	- aye
	Dr. Johnson	- aye
	Mr. Gonidakis	- aye
	Dr. Kakarala	- aye
	Dr. Feibel	- aye
	Dr. Reddy	- aye
	Dr. Bechtel	- aye
	Ms. Montgomery	- aye

The motion carried.

State Medical Board of Ohio Meeting Minutes –July 13, 2022

Angela Mariano

Dr. Johnson moved to find that the allegations as set forth in the September 8, 2021 Notice of Opportunity for Hearing in the matter of Ms. Mariano have been proven to be true by a preponderance of the evidence and to adopt Ms. Lee’s Proposed Findings and Proposed Order. Dr. Bechtel seconded the motion.

Ms. Montgomery stated that she will now entertain discussion in the above matter. No Board member offered discussion in this matter.

A vote was taken on Dr. Johnson’s motion:

ROLL CALL:	Dr. Rothermel	- abstain
	Dr. Saferin	- abstain
	Mr. Giacalone	- aye
	Dr. Schottenstein	- aye
	Dr. Johnson	- aye
	Mr. Gonidakis	- aye
	Dr. Kakarala	- aye
	Dr. Feibel	- aye
	Dr. Reddy	- aye
	Dr. Bechtel	- aye
	Ms. Montgomery	- aye

The motion carried.

Raed Jitan, M.D.

Dr. Reddy moved to find that the allegations as set forth in the November 10, 2021 Notice of Opportunity for Hearing in the matter of Dr. Jitan have been proven to be true by a preponderance of the evidence and to adopt Mr. Madden’s Proposed Findings and Proposed Order. Dr. Johnson seconded the motion.

Ms. Montgomery stated that she will now entertain discussion in the above matter. No Board member offered discussion in this matter.

A vote was taken on Dr. Reddy’s motion:

ROLL CALL:	Dr. Rothermel	- abstain
	Dr. Saferin	- abstain
	Mr. Giacalone	- aye
	Dr. Schottenstein	- aye
	Dr. Johnson	- aye
	Mr. Gonidakis	- aye
	Dr. Kakarala	- aye
	Dr. Feibel	- aye
	Dr. Reddy	- aye
	Dr. Bechtel	- aye
	Ms. Montgomery	- aye

The motion carried.

State Medical Board of Ohio Meeting Minutes –July 13, 2022

Chigurupati Ramana, M.D.

Dr. Johnson moved to find that the allegations as set forth in the December 8, 2021 Notice of Opportunity for Hearing in the matter of Dr. Ramana have been proven to be true by a preponderance of the evidence and to adopt Mr. Madden’s Proposed Findings and Proposed Order. Dr. Kakarala seconded the motion.

Ms. Montgomery stated that she will now entertain discussion in the above matter.

Dr. Feibel stated that he is uncomfortable with the Proposed Order. It is clear that Dr. Ramana performed unnecessary procedures on patients. Dr. Feibel felt that accepting the Proposed Order, which is patterned on the order from the Oklahoma State Board of Medical Licensure, would send the wrong message that if a licensee performs unnecessary procedures then they will simply be limited from doing that procedure. Dr. Feibel opined that at a minimum Dr. Ramana should have a suspension of his license, an ethics course, and probation with practice monitoring when practicing in Ohio. Dr. Feibel wished to hear other opinions before offering an amendment to the Proposed Order.

Mr. Giacalone questioned whether Dr. Ramana had performed the unnecessary procedures due to incompetence or if it was done intentionally for financial purposes. If it was the latter, Mr. Giacalone would favor permanent revocation of Dr. Ramana’s Ohio medical license. However, Mr. Giacalone had the sense that Dr. Ramana was simply not competent when it came to the procedure in question.

Ms. Montgomery agreed with Dr. Feibel, stating that it appeared to her that Dr. Ramana had performed the procedures to make money.

Dr. Kakarala agreed with Dr. Feibel’s proposed amendment because the Board does not know the main impetus behind the unnecessary procedures. Dr. Kakarala opined that the proposed amendment strikes a balance between permanent revocation, which is irreversible and has far-reaching consequences for the respondent beyond the borders of Ohio, and allowing that Dr. Ramana may be able to perform other procedures, consultations, or activities appropriately. Dr. Kakarala stated that if Dr. Ramana’s impetus was financial gain, there are other procedures he can perform for the same reason and, since the Proposed Order does not require monitoring, the Board would only become aware of it when a complaint is filed potentially years from now.

Dr. Schottenstein appreciated Dr. Feibel’s concerns and stated that he had had the same thought. However, he expressed concern about over-reaching in this matter in which the Board has limited information. Dr. Schottenstein opined that the Oklahoma Board, which had access to more information, would have considered the same concerns mentioned by Dr. Feibel when they issued their order. Given the Ohio Board’s limited information, Dr. Schottenstein was reluctant to support an order that went so far above what the board of original jurisdiction approved.

Dr. Johnson agreed with Dr. Schottenstein and questioned whether the Board can acquire additional information. Ms. Anderson stated that Dr. Ramana did not request a hearing in which he could have provided additional information. Consequently, the information currently before the Board is the only information that is available.

Dr. Feibel read a portion of the “Rationale for the Proposed Order” in the Hearing Examiner’s Proposed Findings and Proposed Order:

Recommending a stent for a patient, when medically unnecessary, is the antithesis to the proper standard of care expected of doctors who are licensed to practice medicine in Ohio. By signing the agreement [with the Oklahoma board], Dr. Ramana acknowledged that the allegations, as outlined in the Order, were true and acquiesced to the permanent revocation of his authorization to perform venous stents in the future [in Oklahoma].

State Medical Board of Ohio Meeting Minutes –July 13, 2022

Dr. Feibel emphasized that Dr. Ramana acquiesced to the fact that he was performing unnecessary procedures on patients. Dr. Feibel stated that the Board should not give the appearance that performing unnecessary procedures is acceptable, and simply limiting the physician from performing that specific procedure is inadequate. Dr. Feibel opined that giving deference to another board when that board's composition and thought process are not known is to shirk the Ohio Board's responsibility to protect people in Ohio.

Dr. Feibel moved to amend the Proposed Order, in addition to the permanent limitation/restriction and the \$3,500 fine, to suspend Dr. Ramana's Ohio medical license for an indefinite time not less than one year; require completion of an ethics course as a condition for reinstatement or restoration; and upon reinstatement or restoration, Dr. Ramana's Ohio license shall be subject to probationary terms for two years to include a requirement to have a monitoring physician when practicing in Ohio. Dr. Kakarala seconded the motion.

Mr. Giacalone stated that if the consensus of the Board is the Dr. Ramana performed the unnecessary procedures intentionally, that is extremely egregious and the Board should consider permanent revocation. Dr. Feibel stated that he is not opposed to permanent revocation, but he had felt that the Board would not be amenable to that sanction in this case.

Dr. Kakarala commented that since the Board does not have enough facts to understand the rationale and through process of why these procedures had been done, the proposed amendment is appropriate. Dr. Feibel stated that he does not disagree with Dr. Kakarala, but noted that Dr. Ramana had an opportunity to request a hearing and provide the facts but he chose not to do so. The Board's lack of additional facts is due to Dr. Ramana's choice to forego a hearing in Ohio. As a consequence, the Board can only rely on the facts before it, which is that Dr. Ramana performed unnecessary procedures on patients. Dr. Feibel stated that the lack of additional facts cannot be used as an affirmative defense of Dr. Ramana.

Dr. Schottenstein stated that Dr. Ramana's decision to not request a hearing could have been due to extenuating circumstances of which the Board is unaware. Dr. Schottenstein continued to be concerned about the possibility of over-reaching and issuing a substantially greater sanction than it would if it had the additional information that had been available to the Oklahoma board. Dr. Schottenstein did not hold Dr. Ramana's decision to not request a hearing against him.

A vote was taken on Dr. Feibel's motion to amend:

ROLL CALL:	Dr. Rothermel	- abstain
	Dr. Saferin	- abstain
	Mr. Giacalone	- aye
	Dr. Schottenstein	- nay
	Dr. Johnson	- aye
	Mr. Gonidakis	- aye
	Dr. Kakarala	- aye
	Dr. Feibel	- aye
	Dr. Reddy	- aye
	Dr. Bechtel	- aye
	Ms. Montgomery	- aye

The motion to amend carried.

Dr. Feibel moved to find that the allegations as set forth in the December 8, 2021 Notice of Opportunity for Hearing in the matter of Dr. Ramana have been proven to be true by a preponderance of the evidence and to adopt Mr. Madden's Proposed Findings and Proposed Order, as amended. Dr. Kakarala seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

State Medical Board of Ohio Meeting Minutes –July 13, 2022

ROLL CALL:

Dr. Rothermel	- abstain
Dr. Saferin	- abstain
Mr. Giacalone	- aye
Dr. Schottenstein	- nay
Dr. Johnson	- aye
Mr. Gonidakis	- aye
Dr. Kakarala	- aye
Dr. Feibel	- aye
Dr. Reddy	- aye
Dr. Bechtel	- aye
Ms. Montgomery	- aye

The motion to approve carried.

FINDINGS, ORDERS, AND JOURNAL ENTRIES

Ms. Montgomery stated that in the following matters, the Board issued Notices of Opportunity for Hearing, and documentation of Service was received for each. There were no timely requests for hearing filed, and more than 30 days have elapsed since the mailing of the Notices. These matters are therefore before the Board for final disposition. These matters are non-disciplinary in nature, and therefore all Board members may vote.

Two Findings, Orders, and Journal Entries appear on today's agenda. Information on these matters have been prepared by the Legal staff and distributed to Board members. Ms. Montgomery asked if any Board member wish to discuss a Findings, Order, and Journal Entry separately. No Board member wished to discuss a Finding, Order, and Journal Entry separately.

Dr. Kakarala moved to approve the Legal staff recommendations in the matters of Lider Calle; and James R. Fisco, D.O. Dr. Reddy seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

ROLL CALL:

Dr. Rothermel	- aye
Dr. Saferin	- aye
Mr. Giacalone	- aye
Dr. Schottenstein	- aye
Dr. Johnson	- aye
Mr. Gonidakis	- abstain
Dr. Kakarala	- aye
Dr. Feibel	- aye
Dr. Reddy	- aye
Dr. Bechtel	- aye
Ms. Montgomery	- aye

The motion carried.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Dr. Saferin moved to go into Executive Session to confer with the Medical Board's attorneys on matters of pending or imminent court action; and for the purpose of deliberating on proposed consent agreements in the exercise of the Medical Board's quasi-judicial capacity; and to consider the appointment, employment, dismissal, discipline, promotion, demotion, or compensation of a public employee or official. Dr. Reddy seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

ROLL CALL:

Dr. Rothermel	- aye
Dr. Saferin	- aye

State Medical Board of Ohio Meeting Minutes –July 13, 2022

Mr. Giacalone	- aye
Dr. Schottenstein	- aye
Dr. Johnson	- aye
Mr. Gonidakis	- aye
Dr. Kakarala	- aye
Dr. Feibel	- aye
Dr. Reddy	- aye
Dr. Bechtel	- aye
Ms. Montgomery	- aye

The motion carried.

The Board went into Executive Session at 10:55 a.m. and returned to public session at 11:26 a.m.

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS

Mr. Roach briefly reviewed the settlement agreements for the Board's consideration.

Michael K. Sundaresan, R.C.P.

Dr. Kakarala moved to ratify the proposed Withdrawal and Permanent Surrender with Mr. Sundaresan. Dr. Johnson seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

ROLL CALL:	Dr. Rothermel	- abstain
	Dr. Saferin	- abstain
	Mr. Giacalone	- aye
	Dr. Schottenstein	- aye
	Dr. Johnson	- aye
	Mr. Gonidakis	- aye
	Dr. Kakarala	- aye
	Dr. Feibel	- aye
	Dr. Reddy	- aye
	Dr. Bechtel	- abstain
	Ms. Montgomery	- aye

The motion carried.

Angelo Martinez, L.M.T.

Dr. Johnson moved to ratify the proposed Permanent Surrender with Mr. Martinez. Dr. Kakarala seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

ROLL CALL:	Dr. Rothermel	- abstain
	Dr. Saferin	- abstain
	Mr. Giacalone	- aye
	Dr. Schottenstein	- aye
	Dr. Johnson	- aye
	Mr. Gonidakis	- aye
	Dr. Kakarala	- aye
	Dr. Feibel	- aye
	Dr. Reddy	- aye
	Dr. Bechtel	- aye
	Ms. Montgomery	- aye

State Medical Board of Ohio Meeting Minutes –July 13, 2022

The motion carried.

Jessica Beatrice Campbell, M.D.

Dr. Johnson moved to ratify the proposed Permanent Surrender/Retirement with Dr. Campbell. Dr. Bechtel seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

ROLL CALL:	Dr. Rothermel	- abstain
	Dr. Saferin	- abstain
	Mr. Giacalone	- aye
	Dr. Schottenstein	- aye
	Dr. Johnson	- aye
	Mr. Gonidakis	- aye
	Dr. Kakarala	- aye
	Dr. Feibel	- aye
	Dr. Reddy	- aye
	Dr. Bechtel	- aye
	Ms. Montgomery	- aye

The motion carried.

Harry Fronista, M.D.

Dr. Johnson moved to ratify the proposed Permanent Surrender with Dr. Fronista. Dr. Bechtel seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

ROLL CALL:	Dr. Rothermel	- abstain
	Dr. Saferin	- abstain
	Mr. Giacalone	- aye
	Dr. Schottenstein	- aye
	Dr. Johnson	- aye
	Mr. Gonidakis	- aye
	Dr. Kakarala	- aye
	Dr. Feibel	- aye
	Dr. Reddy	- aye
	Dr. Bechtel	- aye
	Ms. Montgomery	- aye

The motion carried.

Dr. Feibel exited the meeting at this time.

Edward Luke Bold, M.D.

Dr. Bechtel moved to ratify the proposed Permanent Surrender/Retirement with Dr. Bold. Dr. Johnson seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

ROLL CALL:	Dr. Rothermel	- abstain
	Dr. Saferin	- abstain
	Mr. Giacalone	- aye
	Dr. Schottenstein	- aye
	Dr. Johnson	- aye
	Mr. Gonidakis	- aye
	Dr. Kakarala	- aye

State Medical Board of Ohio Meeting Minutes –July 13, 2022

Dr. Reddy - aye
Dr. Bechtel - aye
Ms. Montgomery - aye

The motion carried.

Arlan Marcus Gustilo-Ashby, M.D.

Dr. Bechtel moved to ratify the proposed Permanent Surrender with Dr. Gustilo-Ashby. Dr. Johnson seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

ROLL CALL:

Dr. Rothermel	- abstain
Dr. Saferin	- abstain
Mr. Giacalone	- aye
Dr. Schottenstein	- aye
Dr. Johnson	- aye
Mr. Gonidakis	- aye
Dr. Kakarala	- aye
Dr. Feibel	- aye
Dr. Reddy	- aye
Dr. Bechtel	- aye
Ms. Montgomery	- aye

The motion carried.

Joseph P. Burick, D.O.

Dr. Johnson moved to ratify the proposed Permanent Surrender with Dr. Burick. Dr. Kakarala seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

ROLL CALL:

Dr. Rothermel	- abstain
Dr. Saferin	- abstain
Mr. Giacalone	- aye
Dr. Schottenstein	- aye
Dr. Johnson	- aye
Mr. Gonidakis	- aye
Dr. Kakarala	- aye
Dr. Reddy	- aye
Dr. Bechtel	- abstain
Ms. Montgomery	- aye

The motion carried.

Virgil Waid McMillion, D.O.

Dr. Kakarala moved to ratify the proposed Permanent Surrender with Dr. McMillion. Dr. Johnson seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

ROLL CALL:

Dr. Rothermel	- abstain
Dr. Saferin	- abstain
Mr. Giacalone	- aye
Dr. Schottenstein	- aye
Dr. Johnson	- aye
Mr. Gonidakis	- aye

State Medical Board of Ohio Meeting Minutes –July 13, 2022

Dr. Kakarala	- aye
Dr. Reddy	- aye
Dr. Bechtel	- aye
Ms. Montgomery	- aye

The motion carried.

Marios Dimitrios Papachristou, M.D.

Dr. Johnson moved to ratify the proposed Step II Consent Agreement with Dr. Papachristou. Dr. Kakarala seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

ROLL CALL:	Dr. Rothermel	- abstain
	Dr. Saferin	- abstain
	Mr. Giacalone	- aye
	Dr. Schottenstein	- aye
	Dr. Johnson	- aye
	Mr. Gonidakis	- aye
	Dr. Kakarala	- aye
	Dr. Reddy	- aye
	Dr. Bechtel	- aye
	Ms. Montgomery	- aye

The motion carried.

Dr. Feibel returned to the meeting at this time.

Marika Ruschmeyer, L.M.T.

Dr. Johnson moved to ratify the proposed Superseding Consent Agreement with Ms. Ruschmeyer. Dr. Kakarala seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

ROLL CALL:	Dr. Rothermel	- abstain
	Dr. Saferin	- abstain
	Mr. Giacalone	- aye
	Dr. Schottenstein	- aye
	Dr. Johnson	- aye
	Mr. Gonidakis	- aye
	Dr. Kakarala	- aye
	Dr. Feibel	- aye
	Dr. Reddy	- aye
	Dr. Bechtel	- aye
	Ms. Montgomery	- aye

The motion carried.

John J. Vargo, D.O.

Dr. Johnson moved to ratify the proposed Consent Agreement with Dr. Vargo. Dr. Kakarala seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

ROLL CALL:	Dr. Rothermel	- abstain
	Dr. Saferin	- abstain
	Mr. Giacalone	- aye

State Medical Board of Ohio Meeting Minutes –July 13, 2022

Dr. Schottenstein	- aye
Dr. Johnson	- aye
Mr. Gonidakis	- aye
Dr. Kakarala	- aye
Dr. Feibel	- aye
Dr. Reddy	- aye
Dr. Bechtel	- abstain
Ms. Montgomery	- aye

The motion carried.

Yamini Jadcherla, M.D.

Dr. Johnson moved to ratify the proposed Step I Consent Agreement with Dr. Jadcherla. Dr. Kakarala seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

ROLL CALL:	Dr. Rothermel	- abstain
	Dr. Saferin	- abstain
	Mr. Giacalone	- aye
	Dr. Schottenstein	- aye
	Dr. Johnson	- aye
	Mr. Gonidakis	- aye
	Dr. Kakarala	- aye
	Dr. Feibel	- aye
	Dr. Reddy	- aye
	Dr. Bechtel	- aye
	Ms. Montgomery	- aye

The motion carried.

NOTICES OF OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING, ORDERS OF SUMMARY SUSPENSION, ORDERS OF IMMEDIATE SUSPENSION, AND ORDERS OF AUTOMATIC SUSPENSION

Ms. Pokorny presented the following Citations to the Board for consideration:

1. La Tania Michelle Akers-White, M.D.: Based on an order from the Virginia Board of Medicine in which the licensee was reprimand and conditions were imposed.
2. Krisell Dawn Fedrizzi, D.O.: Based on violations of the minimal standards of care.
3. Mahogney Freeman: To be issued to a massage therapist applicant, based on failure to appear for a Board-ordered examination at Glenbeigh Hospital.
4. Farhana Hasan, M.D.: Based on having been convicted of a state crime.
5. Scott Otis Kinser, L.M.T.: An Automatic Suspension, based on a criminal conviction for felonious assault.

Dr. Johnson moved to approve and issue proposed Citation #5, an automatic suspension. Dr. Kakarala seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

ROLL CALL:	Dr. Rothermel	- abstain
	Dr. Saferin	- abstain
	Mr. Giacalone	- aye
	Dr. Schottenstein	- aye
	Dr. Johnson	- aye

State Medical Board of Ohio Meeting Minutes –July 13, 2022

Mr. Gonidakis	- aye
Dr. Kakarala	- aye
Dr. Feibel	- aye
Dr. Reddy	- aye
Dr. Bechtel	- aye
Ms. Montgomery	- aye

The motion carried.

Dr. Reddy moved to approve and issue proposed Citation #'s 1 through 4. Dr. Kakarala seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

ROLL CALL:	Dr. Rothermel	- abstain
	Dr. Saferin	- abstain
	Mr. Giacalone	- aye
	Dr. Schottenstein	- aye
	Dr. Johnson	- aye
	Mr. Gonidakis	- aye
	Dr. Kakarala	- aye
	Dr. Feibel	- aye
	Dr. Reddy	- aye
	Dr. Bechtel	- aye (abstain on Citation #4)
	Ms. Montgomery	- aye

The motion carried.

DISMISSAL OF NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING

Dahlia R. Nahon, M.D.

Dr. Johnson moved to approve an order dismissing without prejudice the Notice of Opportunity for Hearing issued to Dr. Nahon on January 12, 2022. Dr. Kakarala seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

ROLL CALL:	Dr. Rothermel	- aye
	Dr. Saferin	- aye
	Mr. Giacalone	- aye
	Dr. Schottenstein	- aye
	Dr. Johnson	- aye
	Mr. Gonidakis	- aye
	Dr. Kakarala	- aye
	Dr. Feibel	- aye
	Dr. Reddy	- aye
	Dr. Bechtel	- aye
	Ms. Montgomery	- aye

The motion carried.

OPERATIONS REPORT

Ms. Loucka stated that the Board's licensee count continues to increase. Specifically, new M.D./D.O. licenses have increased by 14% and new allied professional licensees have increased by 15%.

Ms. Loucka reported that an all-staff meeting was held in June, and such meetings will be held quarterly going forward. The highlight of last month's meeting was the results of a staff contest for ideas to improve Board

State Medical Board of Ohio Meeting Minutes –July 13, 2022

operations. While more than one of the ideas will be implemented, the senior team issued the award to the staff member who suggested a more concentrated effort on cross-training and an improved on-boarding process for new employees.

Ms. Loucka stated that the Board issued its annual report on applicant criminal offenses, as required by House Bill 263.

The staff has spent a great deal of time preparing to go live with the Interstate Medical Licensing Compact (IMLC) on August 2. Staff will host a webinar for licensees on the subject on July 20.

RULES & POLICIES

Rule Review Update

Ms. Anderson stated that the Rule Review Update has been provided to the Board and she would be happy to answer any questions. No Board member had questions about the Rule Review Update.

Legislative Update

House Bill 193: Mr. Mabe stated that House Bill 193 will require all Schedule II medications to be prescribed electronically, with written prescriptions allowed under certain circumstances. The bill also replaces references to “naloxone” with “overdose reversal drug.” Governor DeWine signed House Bill 193 on June 24 with an effective date of September 24. Dr. Schottenstein opined that given the number of licensees who have faced discipline for pre-signing blank prescription pads, it appears to be in everyone’s interest to move to electronic prescribing.

Ms. Loucka agreed with Dr. Feibel that a communication plan should be developed to inform licensees about this legislation.

Next Legislative Session: Responding to questions regarding the “lame duck” legislative session beginning in November, Mr. Mabe stated that there are internal discussions of what legislative items should be pursued and which bills can be vehicles for those initiatives.

COMMITTEE BUSINESS

Quality Assurance Committee Report

Dr. Bechtel stated that the Quality Assurance (QA) Committee has reviewed 48 closed cases so far. In 47 cases, the reviewer agreed that the closure was appropriate; of those 47, the reviewers of 39 cases had no additional comments, while the reviewers of 8 cases had some concerns but agreed that the complaint should be closed. One case, or 2% of the total, was recommended for reopen. That case involved minimal standards of care and has been sent to Investigations for additional review. Dr. Bechtel opined that the QA Committee has maintained the Board’s integrity, and the fact that the QA reviewers agreed in all but one case that closure was appropriate provides reassurance that the Board is thorough and thoughtful in closing cases.

Compliance Committee Report

Ms. Montgomery stated that the Compliance Committee had thorough discussion of the Board’s relationship with the Ohio Physicians Health Program (OPHP) and how to proceed with more in-depth work with OPHP. The Committee also discussed policies regarding relapse, as well as an on-site audit process for Board-approved treatment providers. These issues will be discussed further in future meetings.

State Medical Board of Ohio Meeting Minutes –July 13, 2022

Treatment Provider Application

Dr. Bechtel moved to approve the Positive Sobriety Institute for renewal as a Board-Approved Treatment Provider and a One-Bite Treatment Provider. Dr. Saferin seconded the motion. All members voted aye. The motion carried.

Finance Report

Dr. Schottenstein stated that for May 2022 the Board's revenue was \$1,197,477 and net revenue is \$269,185. Dr. Schottenstein commented that these are good numbers for the Board. Dr. Schottenstein noted that it is around this time of year on even-numbered years that dietitians and respiratory care professionals renew their licenses and this, as well as the training certificates being issued around this time, have had a substantial positive impact on revenue.

Dr. Schottenstein continued that Board revenue is routinely compared to that of the same timeframe two years earlier. Dr. Schottenstein observed the stark difference between May 2022 and May 2020 due to the effects of the Covid-19 pandemic in 2020. Specifically, licensure renewal deadlines were extended in March 2020 and that extension was substantially continued until July 2021. The pandemic also provoked a decrease in expenditures for travel, training, and supplies. This, along with the fact that things are generally more expensive in 2022, is reflected in the 10% increase in expenditures compared to a year ago.

The Board's current cash balance is \$7,740,279, which is a third straight record cash balance.

The Board received \$13,500 in disciplinary fines and \$657.61 from collections in May. The Board has received \$186,258 in fines year-to-date, more than the roughly \$150,000 that the Board informally expects each fiscal year in fine revenue.

Licensure Application Reviews

Dr. Reddy moved to approve the Licensure staff recommendations for the requests of Megan Branan, M.T.; Deborah Crunkilton-Rausch, M.T.; Brittany Jiles, M.T.; and Ioannis Apostolis, M.D. Dr. Kakarala seconded the motion.

Dr. Kakarala thanked the Licensure staff for obtaining additional information regarding the application of Dr. Apostolis. Dr. Kakarala stated that the staff went the extra mile, noting that it can be difficult to get information from residency programs.

A vote was taken on Dr. Reddy's motion:

ROLL CALL:	Dr. Rothermel	- aye
	Dr. Saferin	- aye
	Mr. Giacalone	- aye
	Dr. Schottenstein	- aye
	Dr. Johnson	- aye
	Mr. Gonidakis	- aye
	Dr. Kakarala	- aye
	Dr. Feibel	- aye
	Dr. Reddy	- aye
	Dr. Bechtel	- aye
	Ms. Montgomery	- aye

The motion carried.

State Medical Board of Ohio Meeting Minutes –July 13, 2022

Dietetics Advisory Council Report

Ms. Loucka stated that the Dietetics Advisory Council met on July 11, 2022. The Council welcomed Kristine Murray, L.D., as a newly-appointed member. Ms. Murray spoke briefly about her background and experience as a licensed dietitian. Ms. Loucka also spoke to the Council and provided updated regarding the Interstate Medical Licensing Compact, telehealth rules, and other relevant information. Mr. Turek, the Board's Director of Licensure, gave an overview of the licensing process and answered questions from the Council. Kay Mavko of the Ohio Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics provided an update and answered questions from the Council.

REINSTATEMENT REQUESTS

Joshua L. Brown, D.O.

Dr. Johnson moved to approve the request for the reinstatement of the license of Joshua L. Brown, D.O., subject to the probationary terms and conditions as outlined in the November 10, 2021 Board Order for a minimum of three years. Dr. Kakarala seconded the motion. All members voted aye, except Dr. Rothermel, Dr. Saferin, and Dr. Bechtel who abstained. The motion carried.

Michelle Kapon, M.D.

Dr. Kakarala moved to approve the request for the reinstatement of the license of Michelle Kapon, M.D., subject to the probationary terms and conditions as outlined in the June 8, 2022 Board Order. Dr. Johnson seconded the motion. All members voted aye, except Dr. Rothermel, Dr. Saferin, and Dr. Bechtel, who abstained. The motion carried.

Jennifer Russell, R.C.P.

Dr. Kakarala moved to approve the request for the reinstatement of the license of Jennifer Russell, R.C.P. Dr. Johnson seconded the motion. All members voted aye, except Dr. Rothermel, Dr. Saferin, and Dr. Bechtel, who abstained. The motion carried.

PROBATIONARY REPORTS AND REQUESTS

Office Conference Reviews

Dr. Reddy moved to approve the Compliance staff's Reports of Conferences for June 6 and 7, 2022. Dr. Johnson seconded the motion. All members voted aye, except Dr. Saferin and Dr. Bechtel, who abstained. The motion carried.

Probationary Requests

Dr. Reddy moved to approve the Secretary and Supervising Member's recommendations for the following probationary requests, as follows:

- a) Michael D. Badik, D.O.: To approve the previously-completed course *Intensive Course in Controlled Substance Prescribing*, offered by Case Western Reserve University, to fulfill the controlled substance prescribing course; and the previously completed course *Intensive Course in Medical Documentation: Clinical, Legal and Economic Implications for Healthcare Providers*, offered by Case Western Reserve University, to fulfill the medical records course requirement.
- b) Joseph M. Bannon, D.O.: To approve Unity Counseling Services BIP, approved through the Franklin County Municipal Court, Department of Pretrial and Probation Services, to fulfill the domestic violence education program requirement.
- c) Courtney E. Barrows, M.D.: To approve of the New Hampshire Professionals Health Program

State Medical Board of Ohio Meeting Minutes –July 13, 2022

facilitated support meeting to count towards the aftercare requirement.

- d) George R. Butler, III, M.D.: To approve reduction in 12-Step recovery meeting attendance to two per week with a minimum of 10 per month.
- e) Irene E. Dornauer, R.C.P.: To approve drug test monitoring and 12-Step recovery meeting monitoring to be conducted through the Florida Professional Resources Network upon relocation.
- f) Michelle Kapon, M.D.: To approve the course tailored by Donna Homenko, Ph.D., to fulfill the professional ethics course requirement.
- g) Michael Magoline, M.D.: To approve the course *Intensive Course in Medical Ethics, Boundaries and Professionalism*, offered by Case Western Reserve University, to fulfill the medical ethics/boundaries course requirement.
- h) James A. Marsh, Jr., D.O.: To approve release from the terms of the July 12, 2017 Step II Consent Agreement.
- i) Joseph Peyton, D.O.: To approve release from the terms of the July 14, 2021 Board Order.
- j) Marika Ruschmeyer, M.T.: To approve the course *Massage Ethics 201*, offered by Nirvana Massage, to fulfill the professional ethics course requirement.
- k) Kurt Schroer, D.O.: To approve the course *Intensive Course in Medical Ethics, Boundaries and Professionalism*, offered by Case Western Reserve University, to fulfill the medical ethics/boundaries course requirement.
- l) Luke Simmons, M.D.: To approve reduction in appearances to every six months.
- m) Michael Todd Tatro, M.D.: To approve John A. Johnson, M.D., M.B.A., to serve as the new monitoring physician.
- n) Christopher N. Vashi, M.D.: To approve reduction in appearances to every six months; discontinuance of the chart review requirement; and reduction in drug screens to a minimum of once per month.
- o) Dillon Williams, M.T.: To approve the ethics course tailored by Donna Homenko, Ph.D. to fulfill the personal/professional ethics course requirement.

Dr. Kakarala seconded the motion. A vote was taken:

ROLL CALL:	Dr. Rothermel	- abstain
	Dr. Saferin	- abstain
	Mr. Giacalone	- aye
	Dr. Schottenstein	- aye
	Dr. Johnson	- aye
	Mr. Gonidakis	- aye
	Dr. Kakarala	- aye
	Dr. Feibel	- aye
	Dr. Reddy	- aye
	Dr. Bechtel	- abstain
	Ms. Montgomery	- aye

The motion carried.

ADJOURN

Dr. Saferin moved to adjourn the meeting. Dr. Kakarala seconded the motion. All members voted aye. The motion carried.

State Medical Board of Ohio Meeting Minutes –July 13, 2022

The meeting adjourned at 12:06 p.m.

We hereby attest that these are the true and accurate approved minutes of the State Medical Board of Ohio meeting on July 13, 2022, as approved on August 10, 2022.

Betty Montgomery
Betty Montgomery, President

Kim G. Rothermel MD
Kim G. Rothermel, M.D., Secretary

